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Preamble - Principles of Medical Necessity Determinations

Magellan uses MCG Care Guidelines, along with its proprietary clinical criteria, Magellan Healthcare Guidelines, as the primary decision support tools for our Utilization Management Program. Collectively, they are known as the Magellan Care Guidelines.

Magellan also uses ASAM criteria and other state-developed guidelines (e.g. TCADA guidelines for cases in Texas) for management of substance use services when required by state regulations or an account. All guidelines meet federal, state, industry accreditation, and account contract requirements. They are based on sound scientific evidence for recognized settings of behavioral health services and are designed to decide the medical necessity and clinical appropriateness of services.

Individualized, Needs-Based, Least-Restrictive Treatment
Magellan is committed to the philosophy of providing treatment at the most appropriate, least-restrictive level of care necessary to provide safe and effective treatment and meet the individual patient’s biopsychosocial needs. We see the continuum of care as a fluid treatment pathway, where patients may enter treatment at any level and be moved to more or less-intensive settings or levels of care as their changing clinical needs dictate. At any level of care, such treatment is individualized, active and takes into consideration the patient’s stage of readiness to change/readiness to participate in treatment.

The level of care criteria that follow are guidelines for determining medical necessity for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fifth Edition (DSM-5™) disorders. Individuals may at times seek admission to clinical services for reasons other than medical necessity, e.g., to comply with a court order, to obtain shelter, to deter antisocial behavior, to deter runaway/truant behavior, to achieve family respite, etc. However, these factors do not alone determine a medical necessity decision. Further, coverage for services is subject to the limitations and conditions of the member benefit plan. Specific information in the member’s contract and the benefit design for the plan dictate which medical necessity criteria are applicable.

Although the Magellan Care Guidelines are divided into “psychiatric” and “substance-related” sets to address the patient’s primary problem requiring each level of care, psychiatric and substance-related disorders are often co-morbid. Thus, it is very important for all treatment facilities and providers to be able to assess these co-morbidities and address them along with the primary problem.

Clinical Judgment and Exceptions
The Magellan Care Guidelines direct both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate level of care for a patient. While these criteria will assign the safest, most effective and least restrictive level of care in nearly all instances, an infrequent number of cases may fall
beyond their definition and scope. Thorough and careful review of each case, including consultation with supervising clinicians, will identify these exceptions. As in the review of non-exceptional cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice will be used to resolve these exceptional cases.

All medical necessity decisions about proposed admission and/or treatment, other than outpatient, are made by the reviewer after receiving a sufficient description of the current clinical features of the patient’s condition that have been gathered from a face-to-face evaluation of the patient by a qualified clinician. Medical necessity decisions about each patient are based on the clinical features of the individual patient relative to the patient’s socio-cultural environment, the medical necessity criteria, and the real resources available. We recognize that a full array of services is not available everywhere. When a medically necessary level does not exist (e.g., rural locations), we will support the patient through extra-contractual benefits, or we will authorize a higher than otherwise necessary level of care to ensure that services are available that will meet the patient’s essential needs for safe and effective treatment.
Medical Necessity Definition

Magellan reviews mental health and substance abuse treatment for medical necessity. Magellan defines medical necessity as: “Services by a provider to identify or treat an illness that has been diagnosed or suspected. The services are:

1. consistent with:
   a. the diagnosis and treatment of a condition; and
   b. the standards of good medical practice;
2. required for other than convenience; and
3. the most appropriate supply or level of service.

When applied to inpatient care, the term means: the needed care can only be safely given on an inpatient basis.”*

Each criteria set, within each level of care category (see below) is a more detailed elaboration of the above definition for the purposes of establishing medical necessity for these health care services. Each set is characterized by admission and continued stay criteria. The admission criteria are further delineated by severity of need and intensity and quality of service.

Particular rules in each criteria set apply in guiding a provider or reviewer to a medically necessary level of care (please note the possibility and consideration of exceptional patient situations described in the preamble when these rules may not apply). For admission, both the severity of need and the intensity and quality of service criteria must be met. The continued stay of a patient at a particular level of care requires the continued stay criteria to be met (Note: this often requires that the admission criteria are still fulfilled). Specific rules for the admission and continued stay groupings are noted within the criteria sets.

Magellan Care Guidelines do not supersede state or federal law or regulation, including Medicare National or Local Coverage Determinations, concerning scope of practice for licensed, independent practitioners, e.g., advanced practice nurses.

* Magellan utilizes its customers’ definition of “medical necessity” as required.
Levels of Care & Service Definitions

Magellan believes that optimal, high-quality care is best delivered when patients receive care that meets their needs in the least-intensive, least-restrictive setting possible. Magellan’s philosophy is to endorse care that is safe and effective, and that maximizes the patient’s independence in daily activity and functioning.

Magellan has defined ten levels of care as detailed below. These levels of care may be further qualified by the distinct needs of certain populations who frequently require behavioral health services. Children, adolescents, geriatric adults and those with substance use and eating disorders often have special concerns not present in adults with mental health disorders alone. In particular, special issues related to family/support system involvement, physical symptoms, medical conditions and social supports may apply. More specific criteria sets in certain of the level of care definitions address these population issues. These ten levels of care are specific to the account or health plan benefit design and may not all apply to all Magellan accounts. The ten levels of care definitions are:

1. Hospitalization
   a. Hospitalization describes the highest level of skilled psychiatric and substance abuse services provided in a facility. This could be a freestanding psychiatric hospital, a psychiatric unit of general hospital or a detoxification unit in a hospital. Settings that are eligible for this level of care are licensed at the hospital level and provide 24-hour medical and nursing care.
   b. This definition also includes crisis beds, hospital-level rehabilitation beds for substance use disorders and 23-hour beds that provide a similar, if not greater, intensity of medical and nursing care. For crisis and 23-hour programs, the psychiatric hospitalization criteria apply for medical necessity reviews. For hospital-level substance abuse rehabilitation, the Hospitalization, Rehabilitation Treatment, Substance Use Disorder criteria set applies. For subacute hospitalization, the Hospitalization, Subacute criteria set applies.

2. Subacute Hospitalization
   a. The subacute hospital level of care is designed to meet the needs of a patient with mental health problems that require an inpatient setting due to potential for harm to self or to others or potential for harm to self due to an inability to adequately care for his/her personal needs without presenting an imminent threat to himself/herself or to others.
   b. The purpose of subacute care programs is to provide rehabilitation and recovery services and to assist in a patient’s return to baseline function and transition back into the community. Subacute care programs serve patients who require less-intensive care than traditional acute hospital care, but more intensive care than residential treatment. Twenty-four hour monitoring and supervision by a multidisciplinary behavioral health treatment team provide a safe and effective treatment environment.
c. Patients in this setting should have adequate impulse control and the ability to cooperate with staff to communicate effectively and accomplish the tasks of daily living with minimal support. Treatment includes daily psychiatric nursing evaluation and intervention, direct services at least three times weekly, direct services by a psychiatrist (including medication management), psychotherapy and social interventions in a structured therapeutic setting. Psychiatric and medical services are available 24-hours a day, seven days a week in the case of emergencies. When indicated (and especially for children and adolescents), families and/or guardians are involved in the treatment process. Patients are ready for discharge from this level of care when they show good impulse control, medication compliance, effective communication and the ability to accomplish activities of daily living consistent with their developmental capabilities.

3. **23-Hour Observation**

   a. The main objective of 23-hour observation is to promptly evaluate and stabilize individuals presenting in a crisis situation. This level of care provides up to 23 hours and 59 minutes of observation and crisis stabilization, as needed. Care occurs in a secure and protected environment staffed with appropriate medical and clinical personnel, including psychiatric supervision and 24-hour nursing coverage.

   b. Aspects of care include a comprehensive assessment and the development and delivery of a treatment plan. The treatment plan should emphasize crisis intervention services intended to stabilize and restore the individual to a level of functioning that does not necessitate hospitalization. In addition, 23-hour observation may be used to complete an evaluation to determine diagnostic clarification to establish the appropriate level of care. As soon as the risk level is determined, diagnostic clarity is established, and/or crisis stabilization has been achieved, appropriate referral and linkage to follow-up services will occur.

   c. If clinical history or initial presentation suggested that the individual required a secure and protected inpatient level of care for more than 23 hours and 59 minutes, this level of care would not be appropriate.

4. **Residential Treatment**

   Residential Treatment is defined as a 24-hour level of care that provides persons with long-term or severe mental disorders and persons with substance-related disorders with residential care. This care is medically monitored, with 24-hour medical and nursing services availability. Residential care typically provides less intensive medical monitoring than subacute hospitalization care. Residential care includes treatment with a range of diagnostic and therapeutic behavioral health services that cannot be provided through existing community programs. Residential care also includes training in the basic skills of living as determined necessary for each patient. Residential treatment for psychiatric conditions and residential rehabilitation treatment for alcohol and substance abuse are included in this level of care. Settings that are eligible for this level of care are licensed at the residential intermediate level or as an intermediate care facility (ICF). Licensure requirements for this level of care may vary by state.
5. Supervised Living

a. Supervised Living for substance-related disorders includes community-based residential detoxification programs, community-based residential rehabilitation in halfway and quarterway houses, group homes, specialized foster care homes which serve a limited number of individuals in community-based, home-like settings, and other residential settings which require abstinence.

b. Supervised Living for mentally ill individuals includes community residential crisis intervention units, supervised apartments, halfway houses, group homes, foster care that serves a limited number of individuals (e.g., group homes generally serve up to eight; foster care homes generally serve one or two) in community-based, home-like settings, and other residential settings which provide supervision and other specialized custodial services.

c. This level of care combines outpatient treatment on an individual, group and/or family basis (usually provided by outside practitioners) with assistance and supervision in managing basic day-to-day activities and responsibilities outside the patient’s home. These settings are often licensed as halfway houses or group homes depending on the state.

6. Partial Hospitalization

These programs are defined as structured and medically supervised day, evening and/or night treatment programs. The services include medical and nursing, but at less intensity than that provided in a hospital setting. The patient is not considered a resident at the program. The range of services offered is designed to address a mental health and/or substance-related disorder through an individualized treatment plan provided by a coordinated multidisciplinary treatment team.

7. Intensive Outpatient Programs

Intensive outpatient programs are defined as having the capacity for planned, structured, service provision over the course of multiple weeks, and may include service provision over weekends. These encounters are usually comprised of coordinated and integrated multidisciplinary services. The range of services offered are designed to address a mental or a substance-related disorder and could include group, individual, family or multi-family group psychotherapy, psychoeducational services, and adjunctive services such as medical monitoring. These services would include multiple or extended treatment/rehabilitation/counseling visits or professional supervision and support. Program models include structured “crisis intervention programs,” “psychiatric or psychosocial rehabilitation,” and some “day treatment.” (Although treatment for substance-related disorders typically includes involvement in a self-help program, such as Alcoholics Anonymous or Narcotics Anonymous, program time as described here excludes times spent in these self-help programs, which are offered by community volunteers without charge).
8. **Outpatient Treatment**

Outpatient treatment is typically individual, family and/or group psychotherapy, and consultative services (including nursing home consultation). Times for provision of these service episodes range from fifteen minutes (e.g., medication checks) to fifty minutes (e.g., individual, conjoint, family psychotherapy), and may last up to two hours (e.g., group psychotherapy).

9. **Ambulatory**

Ambulatory services are outpatient treatment services, provided by qualified mental health professionals and directed toward reversing symptoms of acute mental health disorders, and/or substance use disorders in order to facilitate improvement, maintain stability and increase functional autonomy for persons with various forms of mental health and substance use disorders. Outpatient services are specific in targeting the symptoms or problem being treated. Examples of types of Counseling and Psychotherapy include the following:

- individual psychotherapy
- behavioral therapy
- medication management
- shared medical appointments
- psychiatric, psychological, and psychosocial assessment
- group psychotherapy
- conjoint/marital therapy
- family therapy.
- outpatient detox services
- outpatient buprenorphine maintenance services

Common settings or sites for these services include providers’ offices and clinics.

10. **Day Treatment**

Day treatment consists of a community-based mix of psychosocial treatment (including individual, family, and group-based psychotherapy), educational, and recreational activities for patients with behavioral health conditions associated with functional impairment (e.g., inability to maintain full-time engagement in work, school, or home environment as appropriate). Day treatment is designed to address issues that are chronic in nature, rather than acute exacerbations or urgent clinical issues; services tend to overlap with regular school or work schedules, and typically are of longer duration than intensive outpatient or partial hospital programs (e.g., an adolescent in day treatment may be enrolled in a program which lasts for the entire school year. While patients for whom day treatment is indicated do not require the intensity of services available in an intensive outpatient or partial hospital program, some day treatment programs provide diagnostic, medical, psychiatric, or other adjunctive treatment modalities, either directly or through arrangements made by the program. These services may be provided over an extended period of time.
## Magellan Care Guidelines

### MCG Care Guidelines
Below is a list of the MCG Care Guidelines we will use for 2018 – 2019 (varies by account). To view a copy of the MCG Care Guidelines, please contact Magellan Health.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCG Care Guidelines for 2018 -2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Inpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Residential Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Partial Hospital Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Intensive Outpatient Program Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-Related Disorders, Acute Outpatient Behavioral Health Level of Care, Child or Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication-Assisted Opioid Withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Treatment Behavioral Health Level of Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Behavioral Health Level of Care, Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Magellan Healthcare Guidelines
Below is a list of Magellan Healthcare Guidelines we will use for 2018 – 2019 (varies by account). To view a copy of the Magellan Healthcare Guidelines, visit www.magellanprovider.com.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magellan Healthcare Guidelines for 2018 - 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Applied Behavior Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subacute Hospitalization, Psychiatric, Adult and Geriatric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subacute Hospitalization, Psychiatric, Child and Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychological Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised Living, Psychiatric, Adult and Geriatric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised Living, Psychiatric, Child and Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised Living, Substance Use Disorders, Rehabilitation, Adult and Geriatric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervised Living, Substance Use Disorders, Rehabilitation, Child and Adolescent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Term Definitions

1. **Family:**

   Individuals identified by an adult as part of his/her family or identified by a legal guardian on behalf of children. Examples would include parents/step-parents, children, siblings, extended family members, guardians, or other caregivers.

2. **Support System:**

   A network of personal (natural) or professional contacts available to a person for practical, clinical, or moral support when needed. Examples of personal or natural contacts would include friends, church, school, work and neighbors. Professional contacts would include primary care physician, psychiatrist, psychotherapist, treatment programs (such as clubhouse, psychiatric rehabilitation), peer specialists, and community or state agencies.

3. **Significant Improvement:**

   a. Services provided at any level of care must reasonably be expected to improve the patient’s condition in a meaningful and measurable manner. The expectation is that the patient can accomplish the following in the current treatment setting: continue to make measurable progress, as demonstrated by a further reduction in psychiatric symptoms, or

   b. Acquire requisite strengths in order to be discharged or move to a less restrictive level of care.

   c. The treatment must, at a minimum, be designed to alleviate or manage the patient’s psychiatric symptoms so as to prevent relapse or a move to a more restrictive level of care, while improving or maintaining the patient’s level of functioning. “Significant Improvement” in this context is measured by comparing the effect of continuing treatment versus discontinuing it. Where there is a reasonable expectation that if treatment services were withdrawn, the patient’s condition would deteriorate, relapse further, or require a move to a more restrictive level of care, this criterion would be met.

   d. For most patients, the goal of therapy is restoration to the level of functioning exhibited prior to the onset of the illness. For other psychiatric patients, particularly those with long-term, chronic conditions control of symptoms and maintenance of a functional level to avoid further deterioration or hospitalization is an acceptable interpretation of “significant improvement”.
4. **Qualified Healthcare Professional:**

An individual that is independently licensed and credentialed by and contracted with Magellan, who performs a service within their scope of practice as permitted by applicable state and/or federal law.

5. **Physician:**

Doctors of medicine (MD) and doctors of osteopathic medicine (DO) with an unrestricted license to practice medicine.

6. **Geriatric**

Generally, 65 years of age or older however treatment must not only address chronological age, but emotional and physical conditions.

7. **Adolescent**

Experts generally agree that no one chronological age defines the end of adolescence. Rather, it is determined by considering a number of factors including chronological age, maturity, school and social status, family relationships, and living situation. For purposes of consistency, it is suggested that child and adolescent criteria sets be applied to individuals 17 years of age or younger.

8. **Standardized Screening Tools**

Tools used for cognitive assessment include, but are not limited to, the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA).
Criteria for Authorization

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS).

I. Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J and K must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need:

A. The clinical evaluation indicates that the adult patient has a confirmed DSM-5 diagnosis of major depressive disorder, severe that, by accepted medical standards, can be expected to improve significantly through medically necessary and appropriate TMS treatment. Severity must be documented with the use of a standardized instrument listed in section I. B. The treating psychiatrist must demonstrate that the patient’s symptoms are treatment-resistant to both a course of psychotherapy and a course of medication management, based on the definitions in section C and D (1).

B. Resistance to treatment is defined by a failure to achieve a 50% reduction in depressive symptoms as demonstrated by objective measures in one of the following standardized instruments after an adequate trial of both evidence-based psychotherapy as outlined in I.D and antidepressant therapy as outlined in section E. 1 & 2:

a. The Personal Health Questionnaire Depression Scale (PHQ-9)
b. The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)
c. The Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)
d. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)
e. The Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS)
f. The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D)
g. The Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology systems Review (IDS-SR)

---

1 All states outside of the following: New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania
h. The Consumer Health Inventory (CHI)

C. TMS will be used only for adults 18 years or older who are not pregnant.

D. An evidence-based psychotherapy for depression was attempted of an adequate frequency and duration without significant improvement in depressive symptoms as documented by standardized rating scales that reliably measure depressive symptoms. See section I.B for a listing of generally recognized standardized instruments for depression evaluation. At least one trial of evidence-based psychotherapy comprised of at least 15 sessions over a 4-8 week period is considered an adequate trial of psychotherapy.

E. One or more of the following:
   1) The patient has demonstrated medication treatment resistance during the current depressive episode as evidenced by lack of a clinically significant response to at least four failed trials of psychopharmacologic agents in the current depressive episode, at or above the minimum effective dose as reported in the Physicians’ Desk Reference, current edition, for a period of at least 4 to 8 weeks. or
   2) The patient has demonstrated an inability to tolerate psychopharmacologic agents as evidenced by two trials of psychopharmacologic agents from at least two different agent classes, with distinct side effects; or
   3) The patient has a history of good response to TMS during an earlier episode of the treatment-resistant major depressive disorder.
   4) A history of response to ECT in a previous or current episode or an inability to tolerate ECT.

F. The patient is medically stable and the patient’s status and/or comorbid medical conditions are not contraindications for TMS.

G. All of the following:
   1) There is a clinical contraindication for electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) or the patient refuses ECT; and
   2) The patient has access to a suitable environment and professional and/or social supports after recovery from the procedure; and

---


4 Studies have demonstrated superior efficacy with ECT in major depression (Eranti, Mogg, Pluck, et al. 2007). ECT should not be delayed in cases where symptoms are life-threatening.
3) The patient can be reasonably expected to comply with post-procedure recommendations.

H. TMS is not considered reasonable and necessary for any of the following (all must be absent):

1) Presence of psychotic symptoms in the current depressive episode.

2) Acute or chronic psychotic disorder such as schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, or schizoaffective disorder.

3) Neurologic conditions that include epilepsy, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, increased intracranial pressure, having a history of repetitive or severe head trauma, or with primary or secondary tumors in the central nervous system.

4) Persons with conductive, ferromagnetic or other magnetic-sensitive materials implanted in their head which are non-removable and within 30cm of the TMS magnetic coil. Examples include cochlear implants, implanted electrodes/stimulators, aneurysm clips, coils or stents, and bullet fragments.

5) Presence of vagus nerve stimulator leads in the carotid sheath.

I. TMS will not be used for maintenance therapy, continuous therapy, rescue therapy or extended active therapy. These therapies are not currently supported by evidence from clinical trials and therefore is considered not reasonable and necessary.

J. Retreatment that occurs in close temporal proximity to a previous episode of treatment may be considered maintenance or continuous therapy and is not reasonable and necessary. The time between treatment episodes should allow for assessment clinically and by one of the aforementioned rating scales to clearly document that the patient responded and then relapsed.

K. The patient and/or a legal guardian is/are able to understand the purpose, risks and benefits of TMS, and provide(s) consent.

II. Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service: 5

A. There is documentation of a clinical evaluation performed by a physician who is appropriately trained to provide TMS, to include:

1) A psychiatric history, including past response to antidepressant medication(s) and/or TMS and/or ECT, mental status and current functioning; and
2) A medical history and examination when clinically indicated.

B. The order for treatment or retreatment is written by a physician (MD or DO) who has examined the patient and reviewed the medical record. The treatment shall be given under direct supervision of this physician, i.e., he or she must be in the area and immediately available. The physician will assess the patient at each treatment, and be present in the area, but not necessarily provide the treatment. The attending physician must monitor and document the patient’s clinical progress during treatment. The attending physician must use evidence-based, validated depression monitoring scales such as the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), the Personal Health Questionnaire Depression Scale (PHQ-9), the Beck Depression Scale (BDI), the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D), the Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS), the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), the Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology Systems Review (IDS-SR), or the Consumer Health Inventory (CHI) to monitor treatment response and the achievement of remission of symptoms.

C. The physician utilizing this technique must have completed a psychiatric residency program accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) or the American Osteopathic Association (AOA) or the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC); Board certification in psychiatry by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology is preferred. The physician must be privileged by Magellan and/or payer to perform TMS. As a part of privileging, the physician must have completed a university-based course in TMS, or the course approved by the device manufacturer.

D. An attendant/individual trained in basic life support, the management of complications such as seizures, in addition to training in the application of the TMS apparatus, must be present at all times with the patient while the treatment is applied.

E. The attending physician provides personal supervision for the initial motor threshold determinations, treatment parameter definition and TMS treatment course planning and documentation supportive of the level of supervision. The patient has either the attending physician or the attendant physically present at all times during the TMS session.

F. During subsequent delivery and management of TMS sessions, the attending physician must meet face to face with the patient when there is a change in the patient’s mental status and/or other significant change in clinical status.

G. Access to emergency equipment, including cardiac defibrillator and suction, is readily available while the patient is receiving TMS.

H. The treatment must be provided by use of a device approved or cleared by the FDA for the purpose of supplying transcranial magnetic stimulation for this indication.

I. When clinically indicated, the patient is released in the care of a responsible adult who can monitor and provide supportive care as needed.
Criteria for Continued Treatment

III. Continued Treatment

All of the following (A, B, C, D, E, F, and G) must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued treatment:

A. Despite reasonable therapeutic efforts, clinical findings indicate at least one or more of the following:

1. The persistence of problems that meet the TMS treatment Severity of Need criteria as outlined in I; or

2. The emergence of additional problems that meet the TMS treatment Severity of Need criteria as outlined in I; or

3. Attempts to discharge to a less-intensive treatment will or can be reasonably expected, based on patient history and/or clinical findings, to result in an exacerbation of the patient’s condition and/or status. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.

B. TMS is reasonable and necessary for up to 30 visits over a 7-week period, followed by six tapered treatments. The number of treatments will be evaluated against patient response and the published evidence-based literature. If medically necessary and appropriate for a member additional sessions will be authorized.

C. Retreatment may be considered for patients who met the guidelines for initial treatment and subsequently developed relapse of depressive symptoms if the patient responded to prior treatments as evidenced by a greater than 50 percent improvement in standard rating scale measurements for depressive symptoms or if there was a relapse after remission (e.g., GDS, PHQ-9, BDI, HAM-D, MADRS, QIDS, IDS-SR or CHI). Retreatment that occurs in close temporal proximity to a previous episode of treatment may be considered maintenance or continuous therapy and is not reasonable and necessary. The time between treatment episodes should allow for assessment clinically and by one of the aforementioned rating scales to clearly document that the patient responded and then relapsed.

D. If the patient meets the relapse criteria, up to 30 visits for the acute phase treatment followed by an additional six visits for tapering is considered reasonable and necessary. The number of treatments will be evaluated against patient response and the published evidence-based literature. If medically necessary and appropriate for a member additional sessions will be authorized.

E. The treatment plan allows for the lowest frequency of treatments that supports sustained remission and/or prevents worsening of symptoms.

F. The current or revised treatment plan can be reasonably expected to bring about significant improvement in the problems meeting criterion IIIA, and this is documented in progress notes, written and signed by the provider.
G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.
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Introduction
The following Medical Necessity Criteria (MNC) are provided as a guideline for coverage
decisions. Policies can be highly technical and complex and are provided here for
informational purposes (see Appendix). The policies do not constitute medical or behavioral
health advice or care. Treating healthcare providers are solely responsible for diagnosis,
treatment and advice consistent with evidence-based care and clinical best practices.
Members should discuss the information in the policies with their treating healthcare
providers. Technology is constantly evolving and these policies are subject to change
without notice. Additional policies may be developed from time to time and some may be
withdrawn from use. The policies were developed after extensive review of the available
literature on the provision of applied behavior analysis (ABA) for the treatment of autism
spectrum disorders. A multidisciplinary committee of healthcare professionals within and
external to Magellan Health developed and approved the guidelines based on this review.
The guidelines were developed in consultation with experts in the treatment of autism
spectrum disorders from major research and treatment centers like The Mind Institute at
the University of California at Davis, Baylor University and Duke University. The
guidelines rely heavily on known best practices in the treatment of developmental disorders
including the requirement for a complete assessment utilizing validated tools and
standardized developmental norms; symptom focused interventions; caregiver participation
and measureable goals (additional information is available in the Appendix).

Description of the Technology
Applied behavior analysis is a discipline that applies human behavior principles in various
settings, i.e., clinics, schools, homes, and communities, to diminish substantial deficits in a
recipient’s adaptive functioning or significant behavior problems due to autism spectrum
disorder. This technique applies interventions to address three core areas of behavioral
functioning:

1. Deficits in developmentally appropriate self-care include, but are not limited to:
   a. Feeding
   b. Grooming
   c. Activities of daily living (e.g., dressing, preparing for school)
   d. Preoccupation with one or more restricted, stereotyped patterns of behavior that
      are abnormal in intensity or focus
   e. Inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals
   f. Stereotyped, repetitive motor mannerisms
   g. Persistent preoccupation with parts of objects.

2. Impairments in social adaptive skills include, but are not limited to:
a. Delay in or lack of spoken language
b. Inability to sustain adequate conversation with others
c. Impairment in non-verbal behaviors in social interaction
d. Failure to develop peer relationships
e. Lack of spontaneous seeking to share emotions in relationships
f. Lack of social or emotional reciprocity.

3. Prevention of harm to self or others (safety concerns) include, but are not limited to:
   a. Aggression directed to self or others (e.g., hitting, biting)
   b. Engaging in dangerous behaviors (e.g., eating nonfood items, running into the street, elopement).

The first demonstrations of the effectiveness of this treatment model occurred in the 1960s with the employment of highly structured operant conditioning learning programs to improve the condition of recipients with autism and mental retardation. Many techniques, strategies, and approaches have been developed using ABA as a foundation. ABA treatments derive from the experimental analysis of behavior – a field dedicated to understanding how environmental events affect behavior.

ABA systematically applies interventions based on learning theory to improve social interaction, verbal and nonverbal communication, and maladaptive or challenging behavior while demonstrating that the interventions employed are responsible for the improvement. Deficits in functioning may be due to environmental factors, physical conditions, mental health disorders, and psychological factors. The severity and frequency of maladaptive behavior, e.g., aggression, violence, destructiveness, and self-injury, may result in risk to the physical safety of the individual or others. ABA involves the analysis, design, implementation, and evaluation of behavior modification plans to produce significant improvement in behavior. ABA programs include multiple techniques (e.g., discrete trial training and naturalistic teaching) and integrate different strategies based on the recipient’s needs and target goals. The ABA literature universally cites the need for caregiver training and caregiver assumption of treatment interventions. ABA methodologies incorporate data collection to monitor the recipient’s progress and evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention.

General ABA behavior goals in autism include: (1) increasing selected behaviors, (2) teaching new skills, (3) maintaining selected behaviors, (4) generalizing or transferring selected behaviors, (5) restricting or narrowing conditions under which interfering behaviors occur, (6) reducing interfering behaviors, and (7) parental skill development and the application of those skills in natural settings. Socially significant behaviors frequently targeted include addressing underlying issues that impair academic functioning, social skills, communication and adaptive living skills, e.g., gross and fine motor skills, eating and food preparation, toileting, dressing, personal self-care, domestic skills, time and punctuality, money and value, home and community orientation and work skills.

Functional behavior analysis (FBA) or functional assessment is a rigorous method of gathering information about problem behaviors. The underlying theory of FBA is that most problem behaviors serve some type of an adaptive function reinforced by consequences.
FBA is used in both designing a behavior program for maximum effectiveness and serves as the foundation of the individualized treatment plan.

The decision about the need for comprehensive versus focused interventions is generally determined, in part, by an evaluation of the level of impairment as demonstrated on validated developmental assessment tools. The severity of impairment is often based on how far the person’s scores are from the mean (average). A customary statistic for describing how far someone is from the mean is the standard deviation score (SD). SD scores of less than 1 are considered within the range of normal development. A SD score of 1 but less than 1.5 is considered mild impairment, 1.5 but less than 2 is considered moderate impairment, and 2 or more is considered severe. Another significant factor in this decision is the number of developmental areas affected. For example, if only one or two areas are affected, a focused intervention may be the most appropriate.

Definitions

*Comprehensive Intervention*: Services may range from 21 to 40 hours per week, early in the recipient’s development (for example, under the age of 7). More than 40 hours will be approved where medically necessary for the member. Services are provided for multiple targets across most or all developmental domains. Comprehensive interventions may close the gap between a recipient’s level of functioning and that of a typically developing peer. The standard of care for comprehensive services has been for durations of 1 to 2 years.

*Focused Intervention*: Services are provided up to 20 hours per week and are directed to a more limited set of problematic behaviors or skills deficits in areas such as self-care, communication and personal safety. Focused services introduce and strengthen more adaptive behaviors in order to address specific behaviors that are problematic for the recipient.

*Functional behavior analysis (FBA)*: A functional assessment that is a rigorous method of gathering information about adaptive functioning and dysfunctional behaviors. The underlying theory of FBA is that most problem behaviors serve some type of an adaptive function reinforced by consequences. FBA is used in both designing a behavior program for maximum effectiveness and guides development of an individualized treatment plan.

Criteria to Initiate Care

All of following criteria must be met:

1. There is an established and current (within 24 months) DSM-5 diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder using validated assessment tools, e.g., Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), Autism Diagnostic Interview (ADI-R), Parent Evaluation Developmental Stages (PEDS), Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT), Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ), or Brigance; and

2. Developmental assessment has been completed within the last 12 months using validated assessment tools (e.g., Vineland, ABAS).

3. As determined by validated developmental assessment tools, the eligible recipient cannot participate at an age-appropriate level in home, school or community activities because of the presence of behavioral excess and/or the absence of
functional skills that interfere with participation in these activities, and the target behaviors or skill deficits identified for ABA intervention meet one or more of the following:

a. The target behavior or skill is 1 standard deviation or more below the mean, or
b. Represents a behavior that poses significant threat of harm to the recipient or others.

4. There is an expectation on the part of a qualified treating healthcare professional, who has completed an initial evaluation of the recipient that the individual’s behavior and skills will improve to a clinically meaningful extent, in at least two settings (home, school, community) with ABA therapy provided by, or supervised by, a certified ABA provider.

5. A functional assessment using validated tools has been completed by a qualified behavior analyst certified by the Behavior Analyst Certification Board (BACB). This assessment will include baseline information on the recipient’s adaptive functioning within the last 12 months.

6. The recipient’s caregivers commit to participate in the goals of the treatment plan.

7. The recipient is medically stable and does not require 24-hour medical/nursing monitoring or procedures provided in a hospital level of care.

8. There is a treatment plan with the following elements:
   a. There are specific, quantifiable goals that relate to developmental deficits or behaviors that pose a significant risk of harm to the recipient or others.
   b. Objective, observable, and quantifiable metrics are utilized to measure change toward the specific goal behaviors.
   c. Documentation that adjunctive treatments (e.g., psychotherapy, social skills training, medication services, educational services) have been considered for inclusion in the treatment plan, with the rationale for exclusion.

Criteria for Continued Care
All of the following criteria must be met:

1. The recipient shows improvement from baseline in skill deficits and problematic behaviors targeted in the approved treatment plan using validated assessments of adaptive functioning.

2. As determined by validated developmental assessment tools, the eligible recipient still cannot participate at an age-appropriate level in home, school or community activities because of the presence of behavioral excess and/or the absence of functional skills that interfere with participation in these activities, and the target behaviors or skill deficits identified for ABA intervention meet one or more of the following:

---

6 Additional information on age-appropriate skills can be found in the Appendix.
a. The target behavior or skill is 1 standard deviation or more below the mean, or
b. Represents a behavior that poses significant threat of harm to the recipient or others.

3. The recipient’s caregivers demonstrate continued commitment to participation in the recipient’s treatment plan and demonstrate the ability to apply those skills in naturalized settings as documented in the clinical record.

4. The gains made toward developmental norms and behavior goals cannot be maintained if care is reduced.

5. Behavior issues are not exacerbated by the treatment process.

6. The recipient has the required cognitive capacity to benefit from the care provided and to retain and generalize treatment gains.

Criteria for Discharge from Care
One of the following criteria must be met:

1. The recipient shows improvement from baseline in targeted skill deficits and problematic behaviors such that goals are achieved or maximum benefit has been reached.

2. Caregivers have refused treatment recommendations.

3. Behavioral issues are exacerbated by the treatment.

4. Recipient is unlikely to continue to benefit or maintain gains from continued care.
Appendix

This document is provided as companion to Magellan Healthcare's Medical Necessity Criteria (MNC) for the use of applied behavior analysis (ABA). Magellan supports the use of clinical best practices and strongly encourages participating providers to consult resources such as those published by the Behavior Analyst Certification Board (BACB®).

ABA systematically applies interventions based on learning theory to improve social interaction, verbal and nonverbal communication, and maladaptive or challenging behavior while demonstrating that the interventions employed are responsible for the improvement. Deficits in functioning may be due to environmental factors, physical conditions, mental health disorders, and psychological factors. The severity and frequency of maladaptive behavior (e.g., aggression, violence, destructiveness, and self-injury) may result in risk to the physical safety of the individual or others. ABA involves the analysis, design, implementation, and evaluation of behavior modification plans to produce significant improvement in behavior. ABA programs include multiple techniques (e.g., discrete trial training and naturalistic teaching) and integrate different strategies based on the recipient’s needs and target goals. ABA methodologies incorporate data collection to monitor the recipient’s progress and evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention and evaluate behavior with validated tools and objective developmental norms. An ABA program is directed to promoting the greatest level of independence possible for the recipient and provides training and support for the caregivers. An ABA program that does not include the substantial involvement of the recipient’s caregivers does not meet Magellan’s expectations of a successful treatment plan based on an extensive review of the available literature on the effectiveness of ABA, and as such, cannot be authorized for reimbursement.

Essential Elements of Effective ABA Treatment

1. An objective assessment and analysis of the client’s condition by observing how the environment affects the client’s behavior, as evidenced through appropriate data collection and the use of validated assessment tools and developmental norms.
2. An understanding of the context of the behavior and the behavior’s value to the individual, the family, and the community and a plan to address the most socially significant deficits in skill or problem behaviors that will allow the independent functioning for the recipient across these environments.
3. A thorough review of the recipient’s medical, educational, and psychological and behavioral history and ongoing coordination of care with other providers involved in the recipient’s treatment (e.g., physical therapists, social workers, occupational therapists, pediatricians, speech therapists).
4. The use of ongoing, objective assessments and data analysis to inform clinical decision making.
5. A focus on the recipient’s quality of life, with care provided only for as long as necessary to achieve goals, or maximize clinical benefit, and promote independence for the recipient.
6. The facilitation of opportunities for the recipient to interact with typically-developing peers.
7. The inclusion of the recipients’ caregivers in a formalized program of training that allows them to develop skills and apply these in naturalized settings to further the recipient’s treatment goals.

8. A strong program of support for the caregivers that addresses the stresses and strains of caregiving including community connection to supportive resources.

Initial Evaluation

After an initial diagnosis of autism has been obtained from an appropriate provider (e.g., pediatrician, pediatric neurologist, developmental pediatrician, psychologist), a functional behavioral assessment should be completed that includes observation across all relevant settings (e.g., home, school and community). The intent of the FBA is to develop a thorough plan of interventions that will target reductions in problematic behaviors, in addition to the promotion of more adaptive skills and behaviors. The FBA captures baseline data and will design a plan of ongoing data collection that will inform the duration and intensity of services. The FBA will include a plan for the training of the recipient’s caregivers, complete with goals for the caregivers and a plan to train and support the caregivers. The FBA should include:

1. Validated developmental and adaptive skills assessment (e.g., ABAS, Vineland, DAYC, Bayley, Mullens, VB-MAPP or ABLLS) to establish baseline functioning.
2. Review of the recipient’s medical, psychiatric, educational records.
3. An evaluation of the purpose of maladaptive behaviors using a validated assessment tool (e.g., QABF, FAST, FACT).
4. Caregiver interview.
5. Evidence of coordination of services with the recipient’s other treatment providers.
6. Consideration for the recipient’s medications and medical comorbidities.
7. A detailed description of behavior reduction goals with clear definition, antecedent, baseline, and mastery criteria for needed skills development.
8. A detailed description of replacement behavior and skill acquisition goal selection based on reported behaviors and developmental evaluation scores.
9. Caregiver training goals and a plan to provide necessary support and training to caregivers as well as a plan to evaluate their acquisition of these skills.
10. A detailed proposal for the intensity and duration of services, as well as the locations where those services will be provided.
11. Full documentation of any IEP services the recipient is receiving and a description of how the proposed care will coordinate with the established IEP.
12. An indication of other services that will be necessary such as physical therapy or family therapy, and documentation that such referrals have been provided.
13. A clear plan with objective milestones for the systematic reduction of care and the criteria for discharge from services.
Ongoing Services

1. Validated developmental and adaptive skills assessment (e.g., ABAS, Vineland, DAYC, Bayley, Mullens, VB-MAPP or ABLLS) should be administered every 3 months to evaluate progress from baseline functioning.

2. Care should be applied as prescribed in the treatment plan, and behavior tracking should be completed such that the occurrence and frequency of maladaptive behaviors as well as replacement behaviors are captured graphically.

3. Antecedents to behavior should be noted as well as response to interventions.

4. The setting of ongoing services should be documented as well as participants present during the intervention.

5. Interventions should promote the recipient’s independence and should be focused on those behaviors that interfere with the recipient’s self-care abilities, the recipient’s safety and those behaviors that interfere with the recipient’s communication and interaction with others.

6. Caregivers should be present during all interventions and should receive training on the intervention such that the treating professional can fade out of the intervention and the caregiver can effectively achieve the goal of the intervention over time.

7. Caregivers should have specific behavior goals that generalize treatment benefits across multiple settings and allow treatment progress to be maintained over time.

8. The recipient should be presented with opportunities to demonstrate skills acquisition with developmentally-typical peers.

9. Adjustments to treatment interventions will be made in consultation with the BACB supervisor, and the reason for these adjustments will be well documented in the clinical record, including the goals and the behavior tracking of these goals.

10. A detailed tracking of the intensity of services as well as the locations where those services are provided shall be maintained in the treatment record.

11. Coordination with other services such as physical therapy or family therapy should be ongoing.

12. Measurement of progression on milestones should be captured on an ongoing basis and progress to discharge goals should be noted.

Intensity of Services

The intensity and duration of services will be based on a careful evaluation of the level of the recipient’s impairment from developmentally expected norms as well as the severity of maladaptive behaviors. Behaviors and skills deficits that prevent the recipient from performing activities of daily living related to self-care (e.g., self-feeding, toileting and grooming), socially effective communication (e.g., mutuality, emotional reciprocity, stereotypy, shared interests) or safety (e.g., aggression, pica, elopement) must be noted. The use of standardized testing is critical in the evaluation of the recipient’s development against published developmental norms. Scores less than a standard deviation from developmental norms are considered within range of normal development: 1 standard deviation equates to mild impairment, 1.5-2 standard deviations equates to moderate impairment, and 2 or more standard deviations will be considered severe. The response to services must be evaluated on an ongoing basis with validated tools to monitor treatment.
progress. Treatment progress should also be evaluated against treatment goals through careful tracking of the frequency of maladaptive behaviors as well as replacement behaviors. The achievement of caregiver goals should be consistently tracked. Lack of skills acquisition or behavioral goals require immediate attention to required changes in the intervention and may lead to the discontinuation of services.

**Comprehensive Interventions:**

- Comprehensive services generally are to be restricted to younger children who have substantial impairments in most or all areas of functioning; behavior is of such a severe nature that the child or those around the child are in imminent risk of harm; and are generally authorized as time-limited.
- The overarching goal of comprehensive intervention is to close the gap between a recipient’s level of functioning and that of a typically-developing peer.
- Comprehensive ABA of up to 40 hours per week is limited to treatment where there are multiple targets across most or all developmental domains that are impaired due to the child’s autism. More than 40 hours will be approved where medically necessary for the member.
- Comprehensive services are generally rendered when the recipient is early in his or her development and are generally not intended to be applied to older children or adolescents who are often more appropriate for focused interventions.
- Optimal treatment duration will vary by child, but literature generally supports total interventions (focused and comprehensive) up to of 1-2 years of care.

**Focused Interventions:**

- Magellan will authorize medically necessary applied behavior analysis, based on individualized goals, provided in a focused or comprehensive manner:

  - Focused interventions are generally authorized for 10-25 hours per week of direct treatment. (Additional authorization will be provided for direct and indirect supervision at 1 to 2 hours per 10 of direct care, as well as authorization for caregiver training.)

  - Focused intervention is authorized when the recipient needs to acquire skills such as communication, safety and self-care.

  - Focused intervention is authorized to reduce dangerous or maladaptive behavior.

  - Focused intervention is authorized to introduce and strengthen more appropriate and functional behavior.

- Magellan encourages providers to consult with a Magellan care manager if there are questions about the appropriateness of a planned intervention, and at any time a child’s condition worsens for any reason.
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Criteria for Admission

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

A. The patient has a diagnosed or suspected mental illness. Mental illness is defined as a psychiatric disorder that, by accepted medical standards, can be expected to improve significantly through medically necessary and appropriate therapy. Presence of the illness(es) must be documented through the assignment of an appropriate DSM-5 diagnosis. There is a high degree of potential for leading to acute psychiatric hospitalization in the absence of subacute hospitalization treatment.

B. Either:
   1) There is clinical evidence that the patient would be at risk to self or others if he or she were not in a subacute hospitalization program, or
   2) As a result of the patient’s mental disorder, there is an inability to adequately care for one’s physical needs, and caretakers/guardians/family members are unable to safely fulfill these needs, representing potential serious harm to self.

C. The patient requires an individual plan of active psychiatric treatment that includes 24-hour access to the full spectrum of psychiatric staffing. This psychiatric staffing must provide 24-hour services in a controlled environment that may include, but is not limited to, medication monitoring and administration, other therapeutic interventions, quiet room, restrictive safety measures, and suicidal/homicidal observation and precautions.

D. The patient requires supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to develop skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to develop the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow him or her to live outside of a subacute hospital setting.
II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

A. The evaluation and assignment of a DSM-5 diagnosis must result from a face-to-face psychiatric evaluation performed within 24 hours of admission. For geriatric patients, as part of the mental status testing, assessment of cognitive functioning is required with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment.

B. The program provides supervision seven days per week/24 hours per day to assist with the development of skills necessary for daily living, to assist with planning and arranging access to a range of educational, therapeutic and aftercare services, and to assist with the development of the adaptive and functional behavior that will allow the patient to live outside of a subacute hospital setting.

C. An individualized plan of active psychiatric treatment is provided in a timely manner. This treatment must be medically monitored, with 24-hour medical availability and 24-hour onsite nursing services. This plan includes:

1) At least weekly family and/or support system involvement, unless there is an identified, valid reason why it is not clinically appropriate or feasible, and

2) At least three-times-a-week psychiatric reassessments, and

3) Psychotropic medications, when used, are to be used with specific target symptoms identified, and

4) Evaluation for current medical problems, and

5) Ongoing medical services to evaluate and manage comorbid medical conditions, and

6) Evaluation for concomitant substance use issues, and

7) Linkage and/or coordination with the patient’s community resources with the goal of returning the patient to his/her regular social environment as soon as possible, unless contraindicated.

D. If the patient is involved in treatment with another health provider, then, with proper patient informed consent, this provider should be notified of the patient’s current status to ensure care is coordinated.

E. A urine drug screen (UDS) or other biological screening (blood, exhaled breath, oral fluid/saliva, sweat and hair) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction.
F. For geriatric patients, the care is expected to include availability of activities/resources to meet the social needs of older patients with chronic mental illness. These needs would typically include, at a minimum, company, daily activities and having a close confidant, such as staff members or visitors.

Criteria for Continued Stay

III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

A. Despite reasonable therapeutic efforts, clinical evidence indicates at least one of the following:

1) The persistence of problems that caused the admission to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), or

2) The emergence of additional problems that meet the admission criteria (both severity of need and intensity of service needs), or

3) Disposition planning and/or attempts at therapeutic re-entry into the community have resulted in, or would result in, exacerbation of the psychiatric illness to the degree that would necessitate continued subacute hospital treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.

B. There is evidence of objective, measurable, and time-limited therapeutic clinical goals that must be met before the patient can be discharged from this level of care.

C. There is evidence that the treatment plan is focused on the alleviation of psychiatric symptoms and precipitating psychosocial stressors that are interfering with the patient’s ability to return to a less-intensive level of care.

D. The current or revised treatment plan can be reasonably expected to bring about significant improvement in the problems meeting criterion IIIA, and this is documented in at least three-times-a-week progress notes, written and signed by the psychiatrist.

E. There is evidence of at least weekly family and/or support system involvement, unless there is an identified, valid reason why such a plan is not clinically appropriate or feasible.

F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate treatment resources after the subacute hospitalization.
G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.
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Guideline: Psychological Testing

Effective Date: July 1, 2018

Last Review Date: March 9, 2018

Criteria for Authorization

The purpose of psychological testing includes, but is not limited to: assisting with diagnosis and management following clinical evaluation when a mental illness or psychological abnormality is suspected; providing a differential diagnosis from a range of neurological/psychological disorders that present with similar constellations of symptoms, e.g., differentiation between pseudodementia and depression; determining the clinical and functional significance of a brain abnormality; or delineating the specific cognitive basis of functional complaints.

Prior to psychological testing, the individual must be assessed by a qualified behavioral healthcare provider. The diagnostic interview determines the need for and extent of the psychological testing. Testing may be completed at the onset of treatment to assist with necessary differential diagnosis issues and/or to help resolve specific treatment planning questions. It also may occur later in treatment if the individual’s condition has not progressed since the institution of the initial treatment plan and there is no clear explanation for the lack of improvement.

I. Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, and C must be met:

A. The reason for testing must be based on a specific referral question or questions from the treating provider and related directly to the psychiatric or psychological treatment of the individual.

B. The specific referral question(s) cannot be answered adequately by means of clinical interview and/or behavioral observations.

C. The testing results based on the referral question(s) must be reasonably anticipated to provide information that will effectively guide the course of appropriate treatment.

II. Intensity and Quality of Care

Criteria A and B must be met:

A. A licensed doctoral-level psychologist (Ph.D., Psy.D. or Ed.D.), medical psychologist (M.P.), or other qualified provider as permitted by applicable state and/or federal law, who is credentialed by and contracted with Magellan, administers the tests.
B. The requested tests must be standardized, valid and reliable in order to answer the specific clinical question for the specific population under consideration. The most recent version of the test must be used, except as outlined in *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing*.

III. **Exclusion Criteria**

Psychological testing will not be authorized under any of the following conditions:

A. The patient is not neurologically and cognitively able to participate in a meaningful way in the testing process.

B. The test is used as screening tool given to the individual or to general populations.

C. Administered for educational or vocational purposes that do not establish medical management.

D. Performed when abnormalities of brain function are not suspected.

E. Used for self-administered or self-scored inventories, or screening tests of cognitive function (whether paper-and-pencil or computerized), e.g., AIMS or Folstein Mini-Mental Status Examination.

F. Repeated when not required for medical decision-making (i.e., making a diagnosis or deciding whether to start or continue a particular rehabilitative or pharmacologic therapy).

G. Administered when the patient has a substance abuse background and any of the following apply:
   1) The patient has ongoing substance abuse such that test results would be inaccurate, or
   2) The patient is currently intoxicated.

H. The patient has been diagnosed previously with brain dysfunction such as Alzheimer’s disease, and there is no expectation that the testing would impact the patient's medical management.

I. The test is being given solely as a screening test for Alzheimer's disease.

J. Unless allowed by the individual's benefit plan, the testing is primarily for the purpose of determining if an individual is a candidate for a medical or surgical procedure.

K. The testing is primarily for diagnosing attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), unless the diagnostic interview, clinical observations, and results of appropriate behavioral rating scales are inconclusive.

L. The testing is primarily for legal purposes, including custody evaluations, parenting assessments, or other court or government ordered or requested testing.

M. The requested tests are experimental, antiquated, or not validated.
N. The testing request is made prior to the completion of a diagnostic interview by a behavioral health provider, unless pre-approved by Magellan.

O. More than eight hours per patient per evaluation is considered excessive and supporting documentation in the medical record must be present to justify greater than eight hours per patient per evaluation.

P. Two or more tests are requested that measure the same functional domain.

Q. The number of hours requested for the administration, scoring, interpretation and reporting exceeds the generally accepted standard for the specific testing instrument(s), unless justified by particular testing circumstances.

R. Testing to determine if an individual is a candidate for a specific medication or dosage is an excluded benefit.

S. The use of structured interview tools or interviews that do not have psychometric properties or normative comparisons is not a covered benefit.
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Criteria for Authorization

Neuropsychological tests are evaluations designed to determine the functional consequences of known or suspected brain dysfunction through testing of the neurocognitive domains responsible for language, perception, memory, learning, problem solving, adaptation, and constructional praxis.

These evaluations are requested for patients with a history of psychological, neurologic or medical disorders known to impact cognitive or neurobehavioral functioning. The evaluations include a history of medical or neurological disorders compromising cognitive or behavioral functioning; congenital, genetic, or metabolic disorders known to be associated with impairments in cognitive or brain development; reported impairments in cognitive functioning; and evaluations of cognitive function as a part of the standard of care for treatment selection and treatment outcome evaluations.

In addition, the evaluation includes a formal interview, a review of medical, educational, and vocational records, interviews with significant others, and a battery of standardized neuropsychological assessments. The testing quantifies a patient's higher cortical functioning and may include various aspects of attention, memory, speed of information processing, language, visual-spatial ability, sensory processing, motor ability, higher-order executive functioning, and intelligence. The goal of neuropsychological testing may be clarification of diagnosis, determination of the clinical and functional significance of a brain abnormality, or development of recommendations regarding neurological rehabilitation planning, but is always for the purpose of shaping treatment.

Neuropsychological testing should be considered for coverage through the patient's mental health benefit when:

- The referring practitioner is a psychiatrist, neuropsychologist, psychologist, or other behavioral health clinician.
- The primary diagnosis is psychiatric, even though medical problems are involved: the purpose of testing is to clarify whether it is a psychiatric diagnosis (e.g., dementia versus pseudo-dementia; head injury versus anxiety/depression; organic mood versus mood disorder not otherwise specified; or organic delusion versus schizophrenia).

Neuropsychological testing should be considered for coverage through the patient's medical benefit when:

- The referring practitioner is a neurologist, primary care physician, surgeon, or pain specialist.
• The primary diagnosis is medical (e.g., multiple sclerosis, head injury, tumors, Alzheimer's disease or stroke).

I. Severity of Need

Criteria A and B, and one of C-O must be met:

A. The reason for testing must be based on a specific referral question and this specific referral question(s) cannot be answered adequately by means of clinical interview and/or behavioral observations.

B. The testing results based on the referral question(s) are reasonably expected to provide information that will effectively guide the course of treatment.

C. When there are mild or questionable deficits on standard mental status testing or clinical interview, and a neuropsychological assessment is needed to establish the presence of abnormalities or distinguish them from changes that may occur with normal aging, or the expected progression of other disease processes; or

D. When neuropsychological data can be combined with clinical, laboratory, and neuroimaging data to assist in establishing a clinical diagnosis in neurological or systemic conditions known to affect CNS functioning; or

E. When there is a need to quantify cognitive or behavioral deficits related to CNS impairment, especially when the information will be useful in determining a prognosis or informing treatment planning by determining the rate of disease progression; or

F. When there is a need for a pre-surgical or treatment-related cognitive evaluation to determine whether one might safely proceed with a medical or surgical procedure that may affect brain function (e.g., deep brain stimulation, resection of brain tumors or arteriovenous malformations, epilepsy surgery or stem cell transplant) or significantly alter a patient’s functional status; or

G. When there is a need to assess the potential impact of adverse effects of therapeutic substances that may cause cognitive impairment (e.g., radiation, chemotherapy, antiepileptic medications), especially when this information is utilized to determine treatment planning; or

H. When there is a need to monitor progression, recovery, and response to changing treatments, in patients with CNS disorders, in order to establish the most effective plan of care; or

I. When there is a need for objective measurement of the patient’s subjective complaints about memory, attention, or other cognitive dysfunction, which serves to determine treatment by differentiating psychogenic from neurogenic syndromes (e.g., dementia vs. depression); or

J. When there is a need to establish a treatment plan by determining functional abilities/impairments in individuals with known or suspected CNS disorders; or

K. When there is a need to determine whether a patient can comprehend and participate effectively in complex treatment regimens (e.g., surgeries to modify facial appearance, hearing, or tongue debulking in craniofacial or Down syndrome patients; transplant or bariatric surgeries in patients with diminished capacity), and
to determine functional capacity for healthcare decision-making, work, independent living, managing financial affairs, etc.: or

L. When there is a need to design, administer, and/or monitor outcomes of cognitive rehabilitation procedures, such as compensatory memory training for brain-injured patients; or

M. When there is a need to establish treatment planning through identification and assessment of the neurocognitive sequelae of systemic disease (e.g., hepatic encephalopathy or anoxic/hypoxic injury associated with cardiac procedures); or

N. When there is a need for assessment of neurocognitive functions for the formulation of rehabilitation and/or management strategies among individuals with neuropsychiatric disorders; or

O. When there is a need to diagnose cognitive or functional deficits in children and adolescents based on an inability to develop expected knowledge, skills or abilities as required to adapt to new or changing cognitive, social, emotional, or physical demands.

II. Intensity and Quality of Care

Criteria A and B must be met:

A. Tests are administered directly by either an appropriate state-licensed provider or by a trained technician. The technician who administers the neuropsychological test must be directly supervised by the provider.

B. Requested tests must be standardized, valid and reliable. The most recent version of the test must be used.

Neuropsychological tests include direct question-and-answer; object manipulation; inspection and responses to pictures or patterns; or paper-and-pencil written or multiple-choice tests that measure functional impairment and abilities in:

1. General intellect
2. Reasoning, sequencing, problem-solving, and executive function
3. Attention and concentration
4. Learning and memory
5. Language and communication
6. Visual-spatial cognition and visual-motor praxis
7. Motor and sensory function
8. Mood, conduct, personality, quality of life
9. Adaptive behavior (activities of daily living)
10. Social-emotional awareness and responsivity
11. Psychopathology (e.g., psychotic thinking or somatization)
12. Motivation and effort (e.g., symptom validity testing).

III. Exclusion Criteria

Neuropsychological testing will not be authorized under the following conditions:
A. The patient is not neurologically and cognitively able to participate in a meaningful way in the testing process.

B. The test is used as a screening tool given to the individual or to general populations.

C. Administered for educational or vocational purposes that do not establish medical management.

D. Performed when abnormalities of brain function are not suspected.

E. Used for self-administered or self-scored inventories, or screening tests of cognitive function (whether paper-and-pencil or computerized), e.g., AIMS or Folstein Mini-Mental Status Examination.

F. Repeated when not required for medical decision-making (i.e., making a diagnosis or deciding whether to start or continue a particular rehabilitative or pharmacologic therapy).

G. Administered when the patient has a substance abuse background and any of the following apply:
   1) The patient has ongoing substance abuse such that test results would be inaccurate, or
   2) The patient is currently intoxicated.

H. The patient has been diagnosed previously with brain dysfunction such as Alzheimer’s disease, and there is no expectation that the testing would impact the patient's medical management.

I. The test is being given solely as a screening test for Alzheimer's disease.

J. Unless allowed by the individual’s benefit plan, the testing is primarily for the purpose of determining if an individual is a candidate for a medical or surgical procedure.

K. The testing is primarily for diagnosing attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), unless the diagnostic interview, clinical observations, and results of appropriate behavioral rating scales are inconclusive.

L. The testing is primarily for legal purposes, including custody evaluations, parenting assessments, or other court or government ordered or requested testing.

M. The requested tests are experimental, antiquated, or not validated.

N. The testing request is made prior to the completion of a diagnostic interview by a behavioral health provider, unless pre-approved by Magellan.

O. More than eight hours per patient per evaluation is considered excessive and supporting documentation in the medical record must be present to justify greater than eight hours per patient per evaluation.

P. Two or more tests are requested that measure the same functional domain.
Q. The number of hours requested for the administration, scoring, interpretation and reporting exceeds the generally accepted standard for the specific testing instrument(s), unless justified by particular testing circumstances.

R. Testing to determine if an individual is a candidate for a specific medication or dosage is an excluded benefit.

S. The use of structured interview tools or interviews that do not have psychometric properties or normative comparisons is not a covered benefit.

IV. Standardized Cognitive Testing

A. Cognitive testing is considered a type of neuropsychological testing.

B. Cognitive testing is authorized in compliance with CMS coding rules:
   1. Billing is limited to two hours on the same date of service.
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Criteria for Admission

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of a mental illness which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient’s clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.

B. The patient’s condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective coping skills necessary to live safely in the community, participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her illness. As a result of the patient’s clinical condition (impaired judgment, behavior control, or role functioning) there is a significant current risk of one of the following:

1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current course of illness or by the past history of illness, or

2) Harm to self or others as a result of the mental illness and as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.

C. The patient’s own resources and social support system are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed as evidenced by one of the following:

1) The patient has no residence and no social support, or

2) The patient has a current residential placement, but the existing placement does not provide adequate supervision to ensure safety and participation in treatment, or

3) The patient has a current residential placement, but the patient is unable to use the relationships in the existing residence to ensure safety and
participation in treatment, or the relationships are dysfunctional and undermine the stability of treatment.

D. The patient is judged to be able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided, and to reliably plan for safety in the supervised residence.

II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient’s own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient’s own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within the supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.

B. The therapeutic focus of treatment should be on the “here and now” and on encouragement of new activities and initiatives.

C. At least one responsible staff person must be present or available by telephone at all times when there are patients on the premises.

D. There is the provision of, or coordination with, medical and/or nursing services sufficient to manage this patient’s comorbid medical conditions.

E. A Urine Drug Screen (UDS) or other biological screening (blood, exhaled breath, oral fluid/saliva, sweat and hair) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction. After a positive screen, additional random screens are considered and referral to a substance use disorder provider is considered.

F. For patients over 60 years of age, assessment of cognitive functioning is warranted with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment.

Criteria for Continued Stay

III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of a mental illness, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.

B. There continues to be a risk of one of the following:

1) Inpatient admission, or
2) Harm to self or others.

C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed for safety, self-care or effective treatment despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.

D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient’s supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.

E. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate post-supervised living, community-based treatment resources.

F. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.
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Criteria for Admission

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of an emotional/psychiatric disturbance and/or significant behavioral problem which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient’s clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in a supportive home environment in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.

B. The patient’s condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective, coping skills necessary to live safely in the community, participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her illness. The patient’s family or caregivers demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient’s physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs. As a result of the patient’s behavioral problems and/or functional deficits and the family’s and/or support system’s inability to provide adequate care and supervision of the patient to ensure his/her safety, there is a significant current risk of one of the following:

   1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current course of the disorder or by the past history of the disorder, or

   2) Harm to self or others as a result of mental illness as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.

C. The patient’s home environment, family resources and support network are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed by the patient.

D. The patient is judged to be able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided and can be safe in a supervised residence.
II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C and D must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient’s own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient’s own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.

B. At least one responsible staff person must be present at all times when there are patients on the premises.

C. There is the provision of, or coordination with, medical and/or nursing services sufficient to manage this patient’s comorbid medical conditions.

D. A Urine Drug Screen (UDS) or other biological screening (blood, exhaled breath, oral fluid (saliva), sweat and hair) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction. After a positive screen, additional random screens are considered and referral to a substance use disorder provider is considered.

Criteria for Continued Stay

III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of a psychiatric disorder, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.

B. The patient’s family or caregivers continue to demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient’s physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs and, as a result, there continues to be a risk of one of the following:

   1) Inpatient admission, or

   2) Harm to self or others.

C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed for safety, care or effective treatment despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.

D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient’s supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.
E. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission, and begins to identify appropriate post-supervised living, community-based treatment resources.

F. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.
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Criteria for Admission

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of a substance-related disorder which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient's clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.

B. The patient’s condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective coping skills necessary to live safely in the community participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her disorder. As a result of the patient’s clinical condition (impaired judgment, behavior control, or role functioning), there is a significant current risk of one of the following:
   1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current clinical course or by the past clinical history, or
   2) Harm to self or others as a result of the substance-related disorder, as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.

C. The patient’s own resources and social support system are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed as evidenced by one of the following:
   1) The patient has no residence and no social support, or
   2) The patient has a current residential placement, but the existing placement does not provide adequate supervision to ensure safety and participation in treatment, or
   3) The patient has a current residential placement, but the patient is unable to use the relationships in the existing residence to ensure safety and participation in treatment, or the relationships are dysfunctional and undermine the stability of treatment.
D. The patient is judged to be medically stable, able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided, and able to reliably plan for safety in the supervised residence.

E. The patient’s need for detoxification treatment is not of a severity to require an inpatient hospital level of care.

F. The patient appears to be motivated and capable of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change.

II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient’s own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient’s own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.

B. The therapeutic focus of treatment should be on the “here and now” and on encouragement of new activities and initiatives.

C. There is the provision of or coordination with medical and/or nursing services sufficient to manage this patient’s comorbid medical conditions.

D. At least one responsible staff person must be present or available by telephone at all times when there are patients on the premises.

E. Treatment considers the use of medication-assisted treatment to address cravings and relapse prevention unless medically contra-indicated.

F. A urine drug screen (UDS) or other biological screening (blood, exhaled breath, oral fluid/saliva, sweat and hair) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction.

G. For patients over 60 years of age, assessment of cognitive functioning is warranted with standardized screening tools for cognitive assessment.

Criteria for Continued Stay

III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of the substance-related disorder, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.
B. There continues to be a risk of one of the following:
   1) Inpatient admission, or
   2) Harm to self or others.

C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed to promote recovery and for safety, self-care or effective treatment, despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.

D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient’s supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.

E. The patient has the capability of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change and demonstrates motivation for change, as evidenced by attending treatment sessions, completing therapeutic tasks, and adhering to a medication regimen or other requirements of treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.

F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission. The discharge plan receives regular review and revision that includes ongoing plans for timely access to community-based treatment resources that will meet the patient’s post-supervised living treatment needs.

G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.
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Criteria for Admission

The specified requirements for severity of need and intensity and quality of service must be met to satisfy the criteria for admission.

I. Admission - Severity of Need

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, and F must be met to satisfy the criteria for severity of need.

A. The patient has a primary DSM-5 diagnosis of a substance-related disorder which is the cause of significant functional and psychosocial impairment, and the patient’s clinical condition can be expected to be stabilized through the provision of medically necessary supervised residential services in a supportive home environment in conjunction with medically necessary treatment, rehabilitation and support.

B. The patient’s condition requires residential supervision and active support to ensure the adequate, effective, coping skills necessary to live safely in the community, participate in self-care and treatment and manage the effects of his/her illness. The patient’s family or caregivers demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient’s physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs. As a result of the patient’s behavioral problems and/or functional deficits and the family’s and/or support system’s inability to provide adequate care and supervision of the patient to ensure his/her safety, there is a significant current risk of one of the following:

1) Hospitalization or other inpatient care as evidenced by the current course of the disorder or by the past history of the disorder, or

2) Harm to self or others as a result of the substance-related disorder as evidenced by the current behavior or by the past history.

C. The patient’s home environment, family resources and support systems are not adequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed by the patient.

D. The patient is judged to be medically stable, able to reliably cooperate with the rules and supervision provided, and can be safe in a supervised residence.

E. The patient’s need for detoxification treatment is not of a severity to require an inpatient hospital level of care.
F. The patient appears to be motivated and capable of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change.

II. Admission - Intensity and Quality of Service

Criteria A, B and C must be met to satisfy the criteria for intensity and quality of service.

A. Supervised living will provide supervision and support in a residence outside of the patient’s own home, and provides needed resources and support not sufficiently available within the patient’s own existing social support system. Clinical intervention services, including behavioral, psychological and psychosocial therapeutic interventions, must be provided. These may be provided within supervised residential settings, in lieu of or in addition to outpatient and other community-based mental health services.

B. At least one responsible staff person must be present at all times when there are patients on the premises.

C. A urine drug screen (UDS) or other biological screening (blood, exhaled breath, oral fluid/saliva, sweat and hair) is considered at the time of admission, when progress is not occurring, when substance misuse is suspected, or when substance use and medications may have a potential adverse interaction.

Criteria for Continued Stay

III. Continued Stay

Criteria A, B, C, D, E, F, and G must be met to satisfy the criteria for continued stay.

A. The patient continues to have significant functional impairment as a result of the substance-related disorder, and the problems that caused the admission persist to a degree that continues to meet the admission criteria.

B. The patient’s family or caregivers continue to demonstrate an inability to adequately care for the patient’s physical, emotional, psychosocial and/or supervision needs and, as a result, there continues to be a risk of one of the following:

1) Inpatient admission, or
2) Harm to self or others.

C. There is evidence that the resources and social support system which are available to the patient outside the supervised residence continue to be inadequate to provide the level of residential support and supervision currently needed to promote recovery and for safety, care or effective treatment, despite current treatment, rehabilitation and discharge/disposition planning.
D. There is evidence of coordination between the patient’s supervisor and the case manager or primary therapist, if applicable.

E. The patient has the capability of developing skills to manage symptoms or make behavioral change and demonstrates motivation for change, as evidenced by attending treatment sessions, completing therapeutic tasks, and adhering to a medication regimen or other requirements of treatment. Subjective opinions without objective clinical information or evidence are NOT sufficient to meet severity of need based on justifying the expectation that there would be a decompensation.

F. A discharge plan is formulated that is directly linked to the behaviors and/or symptoms that resulted in admission. The discharge plan receives regular review and revision that includes ongoing plans for timely access to community-based treatment resources that will meet the patient’s post-supervised living treatment needs.

G. All applicable elements in Admission-Intensity and Quality of Service Criteria are applied as related to assessment and treatment, if clinically relevant and appropriate.
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